Statement

SI. No.	Settlement ending	Badla Rates (% P.A.)
1.	10.4.93	14.34
2.	23.4.93	6.75
3.	8.5.93	10.11
4.	22.5.93	40.73
5.	4.6.93	12.62
6.	19.6.93	24.75
7.	3.7.93	15.06
8.	16.7.93	24.08
9.	30.7.93	35.04
10.	13.8.93	51.59
11.	27.8.93	36.70
12.	11.9.93	32.99
13.	27.9.93	52.95
14.	9.10.93	31.61
15.	22.10.93	30.33
16.	5.11.93	22.19
17.	26.11.93	22.79
18.	10.12.93	34.20
19.	7.1.94	27.07
20.	22.1.94	24.98
21.	5.2.94	33.44

Agricultural Loans by Banks

990. SHRI VITHALBHAI M. PATEL: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of agricultural loans provided by commercial banks, regional rural banks and co-operative banks during the 1992-93 and 1993-94, so far, State-wise, particularly in Gujarat; and

(b) the steps taken or proposed to be taken to meet the requirements of the agriculturists?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. ABRAR AHMED): (a) The latest available State-wise amount of agricultural loans provided by commercial banks during the year ending June 1991 and June 1992, by Regional Rural Banks during the year 1991-92 and 1992-93, by Primary Agricultural Co-operative Societies (PACs) during the year 1990-91 and 1991-92 and by Land Development Banks (LDBs) during the year 1991-92 and 1992-93 is given in Statements I, II, III(A) and III(B) respectively, *(See* below).

(b) In terms of Reserve Bank of India's (RBI) guidelines, all Indian banks, including public sector banks, arc required to extend finance to agriculture (including allied activities) to the extent of 18% of their total credit. Government, Reserve Bank of India and National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development regularly monitor the flow of short as well as long term credit, so that the banking system meets the requirements of the agriculturists.

Statement -I

State-wise Amount of Agricultural Loans provided by Commercial Banks during the year ending June 1991 and June 1992

SI. NO.	Name of States/ U.T.s	Year ending June 1991	Year ending June 1992
1.	Haryana	23857	23096
2.	Himachal Pradesh	1836	1664
3.	Jammu & Kashmir	848	890
4.	Punjab	28953	28065
5.	Rajasthan	16049	16897
6.	Chandigarh	2118	1875
7.	Delhi	982	919
8.	Assam	1546	1371
9.	Manipur	92	92
10	Meghalaya	194	76
11	Nagaland	288	102
12	Tripura	154	175
13	Arunachal Pradesh	27	75
14	Mizoram	12	18
15	Sikkim	41	144
16	Bihar	13158	16419
17	Orissa	11490	175%
18	West Bengal	15162	14775
19	Andaman & Nicobar	33	30
20	Madhya Pradesh	35291	23094
21	Uttar Pradesh	48703	45327
22	Gujarat	24733	27063
23	Mahrashtra	42966	36960
24	Daman & Diu	7	7
25	Goa	768	725
26	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	38	26
27	Andhra Pradesh	73710	78443
28	Karnataka	31319	34661
29	Kerala	35272	40551
30	Tamil Nadu	78459	86247
31	Pondicherry	1235	1266

SI. No.	Name of States/ U.T.s	Year ending June 1991	Year ending June 1992
32	Lakshadweep	11	9
	AU India	489354	498658

Statement II

State-wise Amount of Agricultural . Loans provided by Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) during the year 1991-1992 and 1992-93

SI. No.	Name of State/ Union Territory	1991-92	1992-93 Amount
		' (Rs. in lakhs)	
1.	Haryana	1289	1490
2.	Himachal Pradesh	558	157
3.	Jammu & Kashmir	26	55
4.	Punjab	2049	1888
5.	Rajasthan	1791	80
6.	Chandigarh	—	—
7.	Delhi	—	—
8.	Assam	216	410
9.	Manipur	4	neg.
10	Meghalaya	51	52
11	Nagaland	0.49	—
12	Tripura	5	11
13	Arunachal Pradesh	%	96
14	Mizoram	34	51
15	Sikkim	—	—
16	Bihar	2162	1888
17	Orissa	2471	1870
18	West Bengal	1626	1454
19	Andaman & Nicobar		—
20	Madhya Pradesh	2655	652
21	Uttar Pradesh	10388	9601
22	Gujarat	2327	2447
23	Mahrashtra	1188	1103
24	Daman & Diu	—	—
25	Goa	—	—
26.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	—	—
27	Andhra Pradesh	14072	15629
28	Kamataka	7020	8786
29	Kerala	7325	7030
30	Tamil Nadu	2279	2932
31	Pondicherry	—	—
32	Lakshadweep	—	—
	AU India	59631	60283

Statement -III (A)

State-wise Amount of Agricultural Loans provided by Primary Agricultural Co-operative Societies (PACs) during the year 1990-91 and 1991-92

SI. No.	Name of States/ UTs	1990-91	1991-92
		Amount (Rs. in lakhs)	
1.	Andhra Pradesh	55011	37185
2.	Assam	701	475
3.	Bihar	3647	7425
4.	Goa	92	141
5.	Gujarat	43698	17949
6.	Haryana	23285	36792
7.	Himachal Pradesh	1385	193
8.	Jammu & Kashmir	—	—
9.	Karnataka	22024	3543
10.	Kerala	71352	8497
11.	Madhdya Pradesh	17877	57492
12.	Maharashtra	77500	35750
13.	Manipur	—	—
14.	Meghalaya	136	171
15.	Nagaland	77	501
16.	Orissa	3287	2623
17.	Punjab	34566	62068
18.	Rajasthan	—	22296
19.	Sikkim	2	
20.	Tamil Nadu	57405	111799
21.	Tripura	338	787
22.	Uttar Pradesh	44704	37970
23.	West Bengal	10201	5772
24.	Andaman & Nicobar Island	—	197
25.	Arunachal Pradesh	12	131
26.	Chandigarh	10	—
27.	Dadra Naghar Haveli		
28.	Deman & Diu	14	—
29.	Delhi	—	61
30.	Lakshadweep	274	—
31.	Mizoram		
32.	Pondicherry	468	269
	Total	467885	450085

Statement III (B)

State-wise Amount of Agricultural Loans provided by Land Development Banks (LDBs) during the year 1991-92 and 1992-93

SI. No.	Name of the State/ UTs	Amount (Rs. in lakhs) 1991-92	1992-93
1.	Andhra Pradesh	13598	22445
2.	Assam	116	56
3.	Bihar	168	765
4.	Gujarat	7033	8045
5.	Haryana	5642	7399
6.	Himachal Pradesh	386	306
7.	Jammu & Kashmir	465	306
8.	Karnataka	9721	12057
9.	Kerala	6623	6947
10.	Madhya Pradesh	5121	5817
11.	Maharashtra	13216	12914
12.	Orissa	626	1745
13.	Pondicherry	76	82
14.	Punjab	8222	7839
15.	Rajasthan	4722	6178
16.	Tamil Nadu	4132	6410
17.	Tripura	72	62
18.	Uttar Prtadesh	18095	19895
19.	West Benghal	2152	1711
20.	Manipur	—	27
	TOTAL	100186	121006

Income Tax Outstanding Against Film Stars

100. SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL *alias* MEEM AFZAL: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number and the names of those film stars in the country against whom more than one lakh rupees of income tax was outstanding till 31st December, 1993; the details against each thereof; and

(b) what action has been taken by Government for the recovery of this amount?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FIFNANCE (SHRI M. V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY): (a) There were 72 film stars against whom income-tax demand exceeding Rs. One lakh remained outstanding as on 31.12.93. The names and the amounts outstanding against them are given in the annexed statement, *(See* below).

(b) in many cases, the demands are disputed in appeals and the appellate authorities have been requested for priority disposal of appeals. Proceedings of recovery as provided by the law are being taken for effecting the recovery of the tax due. These include coercive measures like levy of interest and panalty, attachment of movable and immovable assets and then sale of property etc. In suitable cases, launching of prosecution is also considered.

Statement

SI. No.	Name of the film stars	Income-tax demand outstanding as on 31.12.1993 (Rs. in Lakhs)
1	2	3
1.	Smt. Dimple Khanna	1.93
2	Miss G. Madhavi.	18.75
3.	Shri Shakti Kapoor	7.88
4.	Late Shri Amjad Khan	35.88
5.	Late Shri Kishore Kumar	19.75
6.	Ms. Nayantara Katkar	1.10
7.	Miss Rekha Ganeshan	3.02
8.	Shri Rajesh Khanna	100.30
9.	Dr. Shreeram Lagoo	2.97
10.	Shri Satyendara Kumar Sharma	3.54
11	Smt. Saira Banu	1.75
12	Smt. Rati Agnihotri	2.77
13	Smt. Smita Patil (Decd.)	1.30
14	Shri Laxmikant Barde	1.94
15	Shri Sanjay Khan	3.74
16	Miss Neelam Kothari	4.68
17	Shri Vikram Makander	1.30
18	Shri G. K. Asrani	1.20
19	Ms. Rakhee Musumar	1.73
20.	Ms. Farah Naaz	2.32